

ORIGINAL

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

**FILED**  
OCT 10 2006  
Phil Lombardi, Clerk  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

**We the People et al,**

**Petitioner**

**Vs**

**CASE No. 06-CV-460-TCK-PJC**

**UNITED STATES et al,  
LINDA SOPER**

**DEFENDANTS**

**JUDICIAL NOTICE ON LINDA SOPER FOR VIOLATING F.R.Civ. P. RULE 56  
AND DUE PROCESS**

-

We the People of the united States of America come before THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA with a **JUDICIAL NOTICE ON LINDA SOPER FOR VIOLATING F.R.Civ. P. RULE 56 AND DUE PROCESS.**

The Issues being placed before this Court is how Attorneys, Prosecutor and Judicial Officers ,those whom belong to the BAR'S Associations violate *DUE PROCESS* of the America Citizens in our courts systems. Linda Soper has filed into THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Motions to Dismiss the different filing made by We the People. They always use the same arguments. The only defend that those who hold a BAR CARD have against We the People is 12 (b)(6) or 11<sup>th</sup> immunity. This in it self is a due process violation. A judge is ask to make a legal determination when the defendant/s themselves have fails to enter a plead before the court. Rule 8 (b) requires a plead of Admit or Deny. Until a plead is placed before the court the court lacks subject matter and jurisdiction to

dismiss any claim. The defendant/s have not enter into the jurisdiction of the court to file any pleading. Rule 8 (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief. Rule 8 (2) does not state (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, after they have disclosed all of their information . Discoveries, Evident, proof of the claim, and after they have laid out their entire argument before a trail date is set or a conference hearing. It also does not state that if relief is granted that it has to be on the terms and conditions that is in the most favorable light to them and that it give them free ruin to kept committing crimes against the people. That not what the rule states; the rule simply state (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, 12 (f) the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter.

The united States Constitution explains when and how that public officials have immunity and it also explains how they lost their immunity.

#### Article I

Section 6. The Senators and Representatives shall receive a compensation for their services, to be ascertained by law, and paid out of the treasury of the United States. **They shall in all cases, except treason, felony and breach of the peace,** be privileged from arrest during their attendance at the session of their respective Houses, and in going to and returning from the same; and for any speech or debate in either House, they shall not be questioned in any other place.

#### Article II

Section 4. The President, Vice President and all civil officers of the United States, **shall be removed from office on impeachment for, and conviction of, treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors.**

#### Article III

Section 1. The judicial power of the United States, shall be vested in one Supreme Court,

and in such inferior courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The judges, both of the supreme and inferior courts, **shall hold their offices during good behaviour**, and shall, at stated times, receive for their services, a compensation, which shall not be diminished during their continuance in office.

#### Article VI

This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, **shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.**

When attorneys are allow to use the 11<sup>th</sup> Amendment and Rule such as 12 (b)(6) 12 (f) and claim these individuals have immunity or are entitled to relief this is in direct conflict with the constitution and violate on how these offices are to be held. office The due process clause in the constitution gives these individuals the same protection as it gives the citizens. This comes under Article VI full faith and credit and 14<sup>th</sup> amendment of equal protection under the law. The constitution clearly show if these individuals committed **treason, felony and breach of the peace, or other high crimes and misdemeanors.** they are to be removed from that office. These offence gives We the People the right to bring them in and have them removed from office. When attorneys use the courts to obstruct this type of injustice in order to cover up the wrong doing these attorney are in violate of 18 USC § 3 and are now giving aid and biding and are denying the people of their DUE PROCESS to have a corrupt free government.

Linda Soper is making claim that the court has know her as far back as 1991 and that she has practice in that court all that time. Well the problem with that state is this We the People have no proof if her standing in court is valid or not and when she address

We the People she is required to produce that proof of her standing after all We the People paid for this court hearing ,it is We the People that are footing the Bill to paid the wages of those who work in this court and it is We the People who pays the wages of those who choose to enter this action and they will be required to validate their qualification to practice before us and this court lack and standing to answer for those who wish to enter.

Linda Soper is a State Attorney and the statutes and federal regulation required her to hold a federal BAR card to enter that court. Linda Soper assume that We the People lack knowledge of the requirement for attorney to enter. This goes back to the good old boys system you know me and I know you and what the clients done know does not hard us. Will we have been the clients and that why the Class Action.

Before Linda Soper enter this court she had to file a fee where the proof that such a fee was filed. Failure to pay is fraud upon the We the People and upon the federal government because they are being deprived of that money or is this another on of those deal where we said we did and done. Because after all we all belong to the same club.

Linda Soper was required to swear and Oath to the Constitutions before she enter this court where that proof. Their was no such filing placed on recorded or again is this another one of those deals let say I did and don't. As long as their not record then I don't half to be held to that standard.

Linda Soper is required to have a Federal BAR card to practice in this federal court and prove that she hold good standing where the proof of such standing being filed. As far as We the People know she as failed to pass such an examine and lack the proper document to enter. Again this goes back to the good old boys system you know I am one

of you.

The court did not give Linda Soper Leave to enter to allow her to file her any such document in to this case. Even if Linda Soper was to produce such proof at this time she has proven her self unfit to practice as she has already committed perjury before this Federal Court. Swearing and oath to the Constitution require one to up hold it and by her using federal and state tax dollars to represent private individuals in this case is misuse of We the People tax money and this is a criminal act of misuse of funds.

Linda Soper would have been require to represent We the People to which is `lay out in the UNITED STATES ATTORNEY MANUAL under section 8-2.000 Enforcement of Civil Rights Civil Statutes 8-3.000 Enforcement of Civil Rights Criminal Statutes. These acts that Linda Soper has committed before this court demands that all of Linda Soper filing be Quash from the records forthwith and demand that she be found in contempt for committing fraud upon this court and upon We the people for Due Process violation.

Linda Soper made the issue on Rule 23 in a class action. Maybe in any other case ware injury has been committed by tobacco that caused lung cancer or asbestos and again damages to the lungs and all of those people have a common issue. But do the nature of this Class Action being that the injury is being denial DUE PROCESS by the very people whom are list on this action and by the same people who work for the people listed in this action is how this is a Class Action. The Class of People whom have receive such damages are the very people being deny the right to be heard and have this case placed before this court. The same people who have inflict the injury is the same who claim 12(b)(6) 11<sup>th</sup> amendment immunity 12 (f) the court may order stricken from any

pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter. By claiming that this scandalous. Scandalous is depriving the people of their DUE PROCESS, scandalous is swearing an oath to the Constitution and going to the rest room and using it as toilet paper, scandalous is violating the Rights of the people because their federal funding to collect by denying Due Process to the people., scandalous is being force to hire an attorney whom all belong to the same BAR with a code that as long as the clients think that we represent them and we deprive then of their due process an soak them for as money as we can get and then plead them guilty they will think we did them a favor, scandalous is having our Military people coming home and being met at an airport with a summon for some trump up charges after defending the freedom of people like you and get railroaded by the Judicial System that they laid down their life for and then had these same cowards hide behind 12(b)(6),(f) and the 11<sup>th</sup> amendment claiming constitutional rights and immunity when claims of Due Process violation is placed against them, scandalous is when the public servant and BAR member whom hide behind the constitution when our boys die over sea defending this country under the constitution and have those whom belong to the BAR misuse their constitutional oath to deprive the citizen of DUE PROCESS for what our Military people have die for.

Those people whom belong to the Bar is the only group of people who get paid up front to sell out their clients because of the monopoly that played in the court system.

CORPUS JURIS SECUNDUM ; VOL 7 under ATTORNEY AND CLIENT SECTION 4.

#### **ATTORNEY AND CLIENT**

"His first duty is to the courts and the public, **NOT to the client.** And where ever the duties of his client conflict with those, he owes as an officer of the court in the

administration of Justice, the former must yield to the latter."  
Corpus Juris Secundum Vol. 7, Section 4.

This statement alone is enough to have a Class Action Suite because of a class of people whom are being injured and deprived of due process by the system know as the Judicial System and the BAR Associations that is being ran by a monopoly of those whom hold a BAR card. The statement about proves intend and willingness of the Judicial System and the Bar Associations to violate the Due Process and the Civil Rights of We the People .



[LS]

Rodney D. Class  
P.O. Box 435  
High Shoals, NC

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

We the People Plaintiff's , do hereby certify, that I have cause to be delivered to the Clerk of Courts of the **UNITED STATES NORTHTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA** a True, Correct, Certain and Not Misleading Document to be serviced upon this court with a **JUDICIAL NOTICE ON LINDA SOPER FOR VIOLATING F.R.Civ. P. RULE 56 AND DUE PROCESS** and to be serviced upon all named parties. By mail. All of the Plaintiff's Electronic mailed to On this 12<sup>th</sup> day of OCT 2006 A. D.



[LS]

Rodney D. Class  
P.O. Box 435  
High Shoals, NC  
28077

CC. DEFENDANT ATTORNEY LINDA SOPER

Assistant Attorney General  
Oklahoma Attorney General's Office  
Litigation Division  
4545 N. Lincoln Blvd  
Suite 260  
Oklahoma. OK 73015